

# Report to Budget and Corporate Scrutiny Management Board

20 March 2024

<b>Subject:</b>	Tracking and Monitoring of Scrutiny Recommendations
<b>Director:</b>	Assistant Chief Executive James McLaughlin
<b>Contact Officer:</b>	Scrutiny Lead Officer, Alex Goddard alexander_goddard@sandwell.gov.uk

## 1 Recommendations







- 1.1 That the Board considers the responses on recommendations referred since the Board's last meeting.
- 1.2 That the Board considers the progress on implementation of recommendations made.
- 1.3 That the Board determines what action it wishes to take where progress is unsatisfactory.
- 1.4 That the Board determines which actions/recommendations no longer require monitoring.



## 2 Reasons for Recommendations

- 2.1 To facilitate the effective monitoring of progress on responses to and press with implementation of recommendations made by the Board and identify where further action is required.
- 2.2 Effective monitoring of recommendations facilitates the evaluation of the impact of the scrutiny function overall.

## 3 How does this deliver objectives of the Corporate Plan?

	Best start in life for children and young people	<p>The scrutiny function supports all of the objectives of the Corporate Plan by seeking to improve services for the people of Sandwell. It does this by influencing the policies and decisions made by the Council and other organisations involved in delivering public services.</p> <p>Effective monitoring of recommendations made supports this and allows scrutiny to evaluate its impact.</p>
	People live well and age well	
	Strong resilient communities	
	Quality homes in thriving neighbourhoods	
	A strong and inclusive economy	
	A connected and accessible Sandwell	

## 4 Context and Key Issues

- 4.1 The attached Appendix details the responses to actions identified and/or recommendations made by the scrutiny function and progress on the implementation of those previously approved.



## 5 Implications

<b>Resources:</b>	<p>Any resources implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.</p> <p>Any specific resources implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.</p>
<b>Legal and Governance:</b>	<p>The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000.</p> <p>The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 places a duty on the Executive to respond to Scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them.</p> <p>NHS service commissioners and providers have a duty to respond in writing to a report or recommendation where health scrutiny requests this, within 28 days of the request. This applies to requests from individual health scrutiny committees or sub-committees, from local authorities and from joint health scrutiny committees or sub-committees.</p>
<b>Risk:</b>	<p>Any risk implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.</p> <p>Any specific risk implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.</p>
<b>Equality:</b>	<p>Any equality implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.</p> <p>Any specific equality implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.</p>



<b>Health and Wellbeing:</b>	Any health and wellbeing implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.  Any specific health and wellbeing implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
<b>Social Value:</b>	Any social value implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.  Any specific social value implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
<b>Climate Change:</b>	Any climate change implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.  Any specific climate change implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
<b>Corporate Parenting</b>	Any corporate parenting implications arising from scrutiny activity are considered as required by the appropriate director or cabinet member/cabinet.  Any specific corporate parenting implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.

## 6 Appendices

Appendix 1– Budget and Corporate Scrutiny Management Board Action Tracker

## 7. Background Papers

None.

